## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-28 are pending in this application.

Claims 1, 10, 16-17 and 21-28 are amended above to more clearly set forth what it is that the Applicant regards as their invention.

The specification is amended above to add appropriate section headings.

No new matter is added to the application by way of these specification and claim amendments.

The objections and rejections raised by the examiner in the Office Action are overcome or they are traversed as set forth below.

## I. THE SPECIFICATION

The examiner objected to the specification for lacking section headings.

The examiner's objection is overcome by amending the specification above to include appropriate section headings.

## II. THE CLAIM OBJECTIONS

The examiner objected to certain informalities with claims 1, 10 and 20. The examiner's objections are overcome by:

- Amending the preamble of claim 1 as the examiner suggested.
- Amending claim 10 to add a period at the end of the sentence.
- Amending claim 20 as the examiner suggested.

## III. THE SECTION 101 REJECTION

The examiner rejected claims 20-28 for being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The examiner's rejection is overcome by amending claims 20-28 above to direct them to a compute program product.

# CONCLUSION

The specification and claim amendments made above are believed to place the application in a condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of all pending application claims 1-28 is courteously solicited.

Date: December 1, 2006

A. Blair Hughes

Reg. No. 32,901 312-913-2123

hughes@mbhb.com